IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No	
Filing Date	April 21, 2000
Inventor	Scott E. Moore et al.
Assignee	Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit	3723
Eyaminer	W. Berry
Attorney's Docket No.	MI22-1422
Title: Semiconductor Processors, Sen	sors, and Semiconductor Processing Systems

Box Non-Fee Amendment Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 FAX RECEIVED

MAR 0 6 2003

GROUP 3700

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9302 on the date shown below:

- 1. Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/21)
- 2. Response to 12-6-2002 Office Action
- 3. Interview Summary

Dated: 3/4/2003

By:

Natalie King Telephone No.

(509) 624-4276

Facsimile No. (509) 838-3424

NUMBER OF PAGES IN FACSIMILE: 18



Please type a plus sign (+) Inside this box > Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0861-0031 Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.			
	Application Number	09/556,491	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	April 21, 2000	
FORM	First Named Inventor	Scott E. Moore et al.	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Group Art Unit	3723	
	Examiner Name	W. Berry	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	MI22-1422	
ENCLO	SURES (check all that app	n(y)	
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Drawing X Amendment / Response Licensin After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Information Disclosure Statement	ng-related Papers Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) companying Petition to Convert to a conal Application of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter X Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard Receipt Interview Summary	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or James D. Shaurette, Rcg. No. 39,833 Individual name Wells, St. John, P.S. Signature 3/6/03			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: 3-6-2003			
Typed or printed name VIA FACSIMILE			
Signature	Dak	3	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case.

Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer Patent and Trademark Office. Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No	
Filing Date	April 21, 2000
	Scott F. Moore et al.
Inventor	Adiana Tanhadani Ing
Assignee	Micron rechnology, inc
Group Art Unit	
Examiner	
	M122-1422
Attorney's Docket No.	The state of the s
Title Semiconductor Processors, Sensors, and Ser	niconductor Processing Systems

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

To:

Box Non-Fee Amendment

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

From:

James D. Shaurette (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)

Wells, St. John, P.S.

601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300 Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Sir:

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for conducting the telephonic interview with the undersigned on March 3, 2003. The undersigned presented distinctions of the pending claims with respect to Obeng. In particular, the undersigned discussed the supplying the slurry limitation of claim 36 and monitoring of the turbidity of the slurry. Obeng only provides monitoring during mixing or concussing of the materials and not during supplying. Further, the metering coupings of Obeng fail to teach or suggest supplying a slurry. MPEP 2144.03 was also discussed as presented in the previous response with respect to the rejection of claims 37-38. Applicants again request the submission of an affidavit in support of the rejection of claims 37-38. The Examiner indicated he would review such

section upon the filing of a response which accompanies this Interview Summary.

No agreement was reached regarding the patentability of additional subject matter and it was agreed that Applicants would file this response.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 36

By.

James D. Shaure Reg. No. 39,833